

## QUASI-GEODESICS

**Definition 6.12.** A path  $\alpha : I \rightarrow X$  in a geodesic metric space  $X$  is a  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -quasi-geodesic, where  $\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$ , if for all  $t, s \in I$ ,

$$\text{length}(\alpha([t, s])) \leq \lambda d(\alpha(t), \alpha(s)) + \mu$$

**Proposition 6.2.** Let  $X$  be a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic metric space. There exist constants  $L = L(\lambda, \mu), M = M(\lambda, \mu)$  such that if  $x, y \in X, \alpha : I \rightarrow X$  is a  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -quasi-geodesic with endpoints  $x, y$  and  $\gamma = [x, y]$  then

$$\gamma \subset N_L(\alpha), \alpha \subset N_M(\gamma)$$

*Proof.* We show first the existence of  $L$ . Let  $a \in \gamma$  such that  $d(a, \alpha) = D$  is maximum. Let  $a_1 \neq a_2 \in \gamma$  with

$$d(a, a_1) = d(a, a_2) = D$$

and let  $\alpha(t), \alpha(s)$  points in  $\alpha$  realizing  $d(a_1, \alpha), d(a_2, \alpha)$ , respectively. We consider the path

$$\beta = [a_1, \alpha(t)] \cup \alpha([t, s]) \cup [a_2, \alpha(s)]$$

Clearly  $d(a, \beta) \geq D/2$ .

We pick points  $x_1 = \alpha(t), x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} = \alpha(s)$  such that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-3$  and  $d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \leq 1$ . By lemma 6.1

$$d(a, [a_1, \alpha(t)] \cup [x_1, x_2] \cup \dots \cup [x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}] \cup [a_2, \alpha(s)]) \leq (\log_2(n) + 1)\delta$$

and

$$(\log_2(n) + 1)\delta \geq \frac{D}{2} - 1 \Rightarrow (2n)^\delta \geq 2^{\frac{D}{2}-1}$$

Since  $n - 2 \leq \text{length}(\alpha([t, s]))$  and  $\text{length}(\alpha([t, s])) \leq 4D\lambda + \mu$  we obtain:

$$(8D\lambda + 2\mu + 4)^\delta \geq 2^{\frac{D}{2}-1}$$

which gives a bound  $L$  for  $D$  that depends only on  $\lambda, \mu$  (and  $\delta$ ).

We show now the existence of  $M$ . Let  $x = \alpha(s)$ . By a continuity argument there is some  $y \in \gamma$  such that  $y$  is at distance less than  $L$  from  $\alpha(s_1)$  and  $\alpha(s_2)$  with  $s_1 \leq s \leq s_2$ . It follows that

$$\text{length}(\alpha([s_1, s_2])) \leq 2L\lambda + \mu,$$

therefore

$$d(x, \gamma) \leq 2L(\lambda + 1) + \mu$$

so we may take  $M = 2L(\lambda + 1) + \mu$ . □

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic metric space and let  $Y$  be a geodesic metric space quasi-isometric to  $X$ . Then  $Y$  is hyperbolic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a geodesic triangle in  $Y$ . If  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a quasi-isometry  $f(\Delta)$  is contained in a finite neighborhood of a  $(\lambda, \mu)$  quasi-geodesic triangle  $\Delta'$  in  $X$ , where  $\lambda, \mu$  depend only on  $f$ . By proposition 6.2  $\Delta'$  is  $\epsilon$ -thin for some  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\lambda, \mu, \delta) \geq 0$ . But then  $\Delta$  is also  $\delta'$ -thin for some  $\delta'$  that depends only on  $\delta$  and  $f$ . □

# Hyperbolic Groups

**Definition 6.13.** Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  where  $S$  is finite. We say that  $G$  is *hyperbolic* if the Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \Gamma(S, G)$  is a hyperbolic metric space.

*Remark 6.1.* By corollary 6.3 if  $G = \langle S_1 \rangle = \langle S_2 \rangle$  with  $S_1, S_2$  finite then  $\Gamma(S_1, G)$  is hyperbolic if and only if  $\Gamma(S_2, G)$  is hyperbolic, so the definition above does not depend on the generating set  $S$ .

**PHY 5207: GEOMETRIC GROUP THEORY**  
**LECTURE 11 & 12**

We note that if a group  $G$  is not finitely generated then for  $S = G$ ,  $\Gamma(S, G)$  is bounded, hence hyperbolic. So one can not extend in any reasonable way the definition of hyperbolicity to groups that are not finitely generated.

**Examples.** 1. Finitely generated free (or virtually free) groups are hyperbolic.

2. Groups acting discretely and co-compactly on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  are hyperbolic.

3.  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is *not* hyperbolic.

4. A finite presentation  $\langle S|R \rangle$  is said to satisfy condition  $C'(\frac{1}{7})$  if for any two cyclic permutations  $r_1, r_2$  of words in  $R \cup R^{-1}$  any common initial subword  $w$  of  $r_1, r_2$  has length  $|w| \leq \frac{1}{7} \min\{|r_1|, |r_2|\}$ . It can be shown that  $C'(\frac{1}{7})$ -groups are hyperbolic. As an example the group

$$G = \langle a, b, c, d | abcdbadc \rangle$$

satisfies the  $C'(\frac{1}{7})$  condition, so it is hyperbolic.

5. A theorem of Gromov-Olshanskii shows that ‘statistically most groups are hyperbolic’: Given  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  consider all presentations of the form

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_p | r_1, \dots, r_q \rangle$$

where the  $r_i$ ’s are cyclically reduced words of the  $a_j$ ’s. Let’s denote by  $N(t, \lambda t)$  (where  $\lambda > 1$ ) all presentations of this type such that for all  $i$ ,

$$t \leq |r_i| \leq \lambda t$$

We denote  $N_h$  the presentations of hyperbolic groups among those. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_h}{N(t, \lambda t)} = 1$$

**Definition 6.14.** A *Dehn presentation* of a group  $G$  is a finite presentation  $\langle S|R \rangle$  such that every reduced word  $w \in F(S)$  which is equal to the identity in  $G$  contains more than half of a word in  $R$ .

*Remark 6.2.* If  $\langle S|R \rangle$  is a Dehn presentation then the word problem for  $\langle S|R \rangle$  is solvable. Indeed if  $w$  is a word we check if it contains more than half of a relation in  $R$ . If not then  $w \neq 1$ . Otherwise  $w = w_1 u w_2$  for some  $uv \in R$  with  $|v| < |u|$ . Then  $w = w_1 v^{-1} w_2$  so we replace  $w$  by  $w_1 v^{-1} w_2$  and we repeat. Since the length decreases this procedure terminates in finitely many steps.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  be a hyperbolic group. Then  $G$  has a Dehn presentation. In particular  $G$  is finitely presented and the word problem for  $G$  is solvable.*

**PHY 5207: GEOMETRIC GROUP THEORY**  
**LECTURE 11 & 12**

*Proof.* Assume that triangles in  $\Gamma = \Gamma(S, G)$  are  $\delta$ -thin for  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ . We set

$$R = \{w \in F(S) : |w| \leq 10\delta, w \stackrel{G}{=} 1\}$$

We claim that  $\langle S | R \rangle$  is a Dehn presentation for  $G$ . We will show that if  $w \in F(S)$  is word such that  $w \stackrel{G}{=} 1$  then  $w$  contains more than half of a word in  $R$ . We remark that this is trivially true if  $|w| \leq 10\delta$ . We see  $w$  as a closed path of length  $n = |w|$  in the Cayley graph  $\Gamma$ ,  $w : [0, n] \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $w(0) = w(n) = e$ . If  $w$  contains a subword  $u$  of length  $\leq 5\delta$  which is not geodesic then there is  $v$  with  $|v| < |u|$  such that  $uv \in R$ , so  $w$  contains more than half of a relator and we are done. Otherwise let  $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $d(w(t), e)$  is maximum. We consider the triangles:

$$[e, w(t), w(t - 5\delta)], [e, w(t), w(t + 5\delta)]$$

Since these two triangles are  $\delta$ -thin and  $d(w(t), e) > 5\delta$  we have that

$$d(w(t - 2\delta), w(t + 2\delta)) \leq 2\delta$$

so the subword of length  $4\delta$ ,  $[w(t - 2\delta), w(t + 2\delta)]$  is not geodesic. It follows that  $w$  contains more than half of a word in  $R$ . □

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $G$  be a hyperbolic group. Then  $G$  has finitely many conjugacy classes of elements of finite order.*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle S | R \rangle$  be a Dehn presentation of  $G$ . Let  $g$  be an element of finite order and let  $w$  be an element of the conjugacy class of  $g$  of minimal length. Then  $w^n = 1$  so the word  $w^n$  contains more than half of a relation  $r \in R$ . We claim that

$$|w| \leq \frac{|r|}{2} + 2$$

Suppose not. We remark that  $w$  is cyclically reduced. We have then that  $r = r_1 r_2$ , with  $|r_1| > |r_2|$ ,  $|r_1| \leq \frac{|r|}{2} + 2$  and  $w = utv$ ,  $r_1 = vu$  for some words  $r_1, r_2, v, t, u$  where all the previous expressions are reduced. Then  $u^{-1}wu = tvu = tr_1$  is in the conjugacy class of  $g$ . We have that  $tr_1 = tr_2^{-1}$  and

$$|tr_2^{-1}| \leq |t| + |r_2| < |t| + |r_1| = |w|$$

which is a contradiction since  $w$  is an element of the conjugacy class of  $g$  of minimal length. We remark now that there are finitely many words  $w$  of length less than

$$\max\left\{\frac{|r|}{2} + 2 : r \in R\right\}$$

so there are finitely many conjugacy classes of elements of finite order. □

**PHY 5207: GEOMETRIC GROUP THEORY**  
**LECTURE 11 & 12**

We turn now our attention to the conjugacy problem. We recall that if  $g \in G = \langle S \rangle$  we denote by  $|g|$  the length of a shortest word on  $S$  representing  $g$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $G = \langle S|R \rangle$  be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic (so triangles in  $\Gamma(S, R)$  are  $\delta$ -thin). If  $g_1 \in G$  is conjugate to  $g_2$  then there is some  $x \in G$  such that  $g_2 = xg_1x^{-1}$  and*

$$|x| \leq (2|S|)^{2\delta+|g_1|} + |g_1| + |g_2|$$

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a word of minimal length such that  $g_1 = xg_2x^{-1}$ . Let's say that  $x = x_1 \dots x_n$  with  $x_i \in S \cup S^{-1}$ . We have then

$$|(x_1 \dots x_i)^{-1}g_1(x_1 \dots x_i)| \leq 2\delta + |g_1|$$

for all  $i$  with  $|g_1| \leq i \leq n - |g_2|$ . If

$$|x| \geq (2|S|)^{2\delta+|g_1|} + |g_1| + |g_2| + 1$$

then there are  $i < j$  such that

$$(x_1 \dots x_i)^{-1}g_1(x_1 \dots x_i) = (x_1 \dots x_j)^{-1}g_1(x_1 \dots x_j)$$

so

$$(x_1 \dots x_i x_{j+1} \dots x_n)^{-1}g_1(x_1 \dots x_i x_{j+1} \dots x_n) = g_2$$

which contradicts the minimality of  $x$ . □

**Corollary 6.4.** *The conjugacy problem is solvable for hyperbolic groups.*

*Proof.* Indeed given  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  it suffices to check whether  $g_2 = xg_1x^{-1}$  for all  $x$  with

$$|x| \leq (2|S|)^{2\delta+|g_1|} + |g_1| + |g_2|$$

□

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$ . Assume that for some  $g \in G$  with  $|g| > 4\delta$  we have that  $|g^2| \leq 2|g| - 2\delta$ . Then there is some  $h \in G$  conjugate to  $g$  with  $|h| < |g|$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the triangle  $[1, g, g^2]$  in  $\Gamma(S, G)$ . By  $\delta$ -thinness of this triangle we have that there are  $u, s, v \in G$  such that  $g = usv$  (where  $usv$  is a geodesic word),  $|u| = |v| = \delta$  and  $|vu| \leq \delta$ . If we set  $t = vu$  we have that

$$g = usv = ust^{-1}$$

and  $|st| < |g|$ . □

**PHY 5207: GEOMETRIC GROUP THEORY**  
**LECTURE 11 & 12**

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$ . Assume that for some  $g \in G, x \in \Gamma(S, G)$  with  $d(x, gx) > 100\delta$  we have that  $d(x, g^2x) > 2d(x, gx) - 8\delta$ . Then*

$$d(x, g^n x) \geq nd(x, gx) - 16n\delta$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for all  $n$

$$d(x, g^n x) \geq d(x, g^{n-1} x) + d(x, gx) - 16\delta$$

Clearly this holds for  $n = 1, 2$ . We argue by induction. Assume that it is true for all  $k \leq n$ . We consider the triangles  $[x, g^n x, g^{n+1} x]$ ,  $[x, g^{n-1} x, g^n x]$ . Assume that

$$d(x, g^{n+1} x) < d(x, g^n x) + d(x, gx) - 16\delta$$

By  $\delta$ -thinness of  $[x, g^n x, g^{n+1} x]$  there are vertices  $u_1, u_2$  on the geodesics  $[g^n x, g^{n+1} x]$ ,  $[x, g^n x]$  respectively, such that

$$d(u_1, g^n x) = d(u_2, g^n x) = 5\delta, \quad d(u_1, u_2) \leq \delta$$

Similarly by  $\delta$ -thinness of  $[x, g^{n-1} x, g^n x]$  there is a vertex  $u_3 \in [g^{n-1} x, g^n x]$  such that  $d(u_3, g^n x) = 5\delta$  and  $d(u_2, u_3) \leq \delta$ . We have then

$$d(x, g^2 x) = d(g^{n-1} x, g^{n+1} x) \leq d(g^{n-1} x, u_3) + d(u_1, u_3) + d(u_1, g^{n+1} x) = 2d(x, gx) - 8\delta$$

which is a contradiction. □

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$ . Assume that  $g$  is an element of infinite order. Then there are constants  $c > 0, d \geq 0$  such that*

$$d(1, g^n) \geq cn - d$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that we may replace  $g$  by a power. Further it is enough to show that for some  $x \in \Gamma(S, G)$  there are constants  $c', d'$  so that

$$d(x, g^n x) \geq c'n - d'$$

for all  $n$ .

**PHY 5207: GEOMETRIC GROUP THEORY**  
**LECTURE 11 & 12**

In what follows we pick  $n \gg k \gg 0, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It will be clear from the proof how  $k, n$  are chosen. We consider the geodesic  $[1, g^n]$ . Let  $m$  be a vertex on this geodesic at distance  $\leq 1$  from its midpoint. Since there are finitely many vertices in the ball  $B(m, 100\delta)$  we may pick  $k$  so that

$$d(m, g^k m) \geq 100\delta$$

Now by thinness of the quadrilateral

$$[1, g^n, g^{k+n}, g^k]$$

and since  $n \gg k$ , we have that

$$d(g^k m, [1, g^n]) \leq 2\delta$$

In particular there is a vertex  $y$  on  $[1, g^n]$  such that  $d(y, g^k m) \leq 2\delta$ . Then  $g^k[m, y]$  is contained in the geodesic  $[g^k, g^{k+n}]$  and there is some  $z \in [1, g^n]$  such that  $d(z, g^k y) \leq 2\delta$ . It follows that

$$d(m, g^{2k} m) \geq d(m, g^k y) - 2\delta \geq 2d(m, y) - 4\delta \geq d(m, g^k m) - 8\delta$$

since  $d(m, y) \geq d(m, g^k m) - 2\delta$ . The assertion now follows by applying lemma 6.4 to  $g^k$  and  $m$ . □

It follows from this proposition that if  $\alpha$  is a geodesic from 1 to  $g$  then

$$\bigcup_n g^n \alpha$$

is a quasi-geodesic.

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic and let  $g \in G$  be an element of infinite order. Let  $C(g)$  be the centralizer of  $g$ . Then the quotient  $C(g)/\langle g \rangle$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $L > 0$  be such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the geodesic  $[1, g^n]$  is contained in the  $L$ -neighborhood of  $\{1, g, \dots, g^n\}$ . Let  $s \in C(g)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|g^m| \geq 2|s| + 2\delta$$

We consider the quadrilateral  $[1, g^m, sg^m, s]$ . By  $\delta$ -thinness there is some vertex  $p \in [1, g^m]$  such that

$$d(p, [s, sg^m]) \leq 2\delta$$

It follows that there are  $g^i, g^j$  such that

$$d(g^i, g^j s) \leq 2L + 2\delta$$

so

$$d(g^{i-j}, s) \leq 2L + 2\delta$$

It follows that  $s = g^{i-j}u$  with  $|u| \leq 2L + 2\delta$ . Therefore every coset  $s\langle g \rangle$  has a representative which has word length  $\leq 2L + 2\delta$ . Hence the quotient  $C(g)/\langle g \rangle$  is finite.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.5.** *If  $G$  is hyperbolic then  $G$  has no subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .*

## 6.5 More results and open problems

There is a number of results on hyperbolic groups that we were not able to present in this short introduction. We give a list of some results hoping that this will give a better perspective on the subject. Some of the results below can be proven by the techniques that we have already presented while others are quite deep requiring a quite different approach.

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $G$  be a hyperbolic group which is not finite or virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $G$  contains a free subgroup of rank 2.*

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $G$  be a hyperbolic group and let  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ . Then there is some  $N > 0$  such that the group  $\langle g_1^N, \dots, g_n^N \rangle$  is free of rank at most  $n$ .*

**Theorem 6.8.** *(Gromov-Delzant) Let  $G$  be a hyperbolic group and let  $H$  be a fixed one-ended group. Then  $G$  contains at most finitely many conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to  $H$ .*

**Theorem 6.9.** *(Sela-Guirardel-Dahmani) The isomorphism problem is solvable for hyperbolic groups.*

**Theorem 6.10.** *(Sela) Torsion free hyperbolic groups are Hopf.*

There is a number of open questions about hyperbolic groups:

1. Are hyperbolic groups residually finite?
2. Let  $G$  be hyperbolic. Does  $G$  have a torsion free subgroup of finite index?
3. Gromov conjectures that if  $G$  is torsion free hyperbolic then  $G$  has finitely many torsion free finite extensions.