

Nash Equilibrium

Fixed Point Theorems

Definition *A point $x \in K$ is a fixed point of an injective function $f: K \rightarrow K$, if*

$$x = f(x).$$

Definition *A point $x \in K$ is a fixed point of a mapping $\Psi: K \rightarrow 2^K$, if*

$$x \in \Psi(x).$$

Theorem **Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem:** *If $f : K \rightarrow K$ is a continuous function from a nonempty, compact, convex subset K of a finite dimensional TVS (topological vector space) into itself, then f has a fixed point, i.e.,*

$$\exists_{x \in K} x = f(x).$$

Theorem **Kakutani's Fixed Point Theorem:** *If $\Psi : K \rightarrow 2^K$ is a convex-valued, uhc (upper hemi-continuous) map from a nonempty, compact, convex subset K of a finite dimensional TVS to the nonempty subsets of K , then Ψ has a fixed point, i.e.,*

$$\exists_{x \in K} x \in \Psi(x).$$

Definition *Topological Vector Space: $L =$ vector space with a T_1 topology*

$$(\forall_{x \neq y \in L} \exists_{G_x = \text{open set}} x \in G_x \wedge y \notin G_x)$$

which admits continuous vector space operations.

Example: \mathbb{R}^n with standard Euclidean topology. (Only instance of a finite dimensional TVS.)

Theorem **Existence of a Mixed Strategy Equilibrium (Nash 1950).** *Every finite strategic-form game has a mixed strategy equilibrium.*

Proof: Player i 's *reaction correspondence*, Ψ_i , maps each strategy profile σ to the set of mixed strategies that maximize player i 's pay-offs when his rivals play σ_{-i} :

$$\Psi_i(\sigma) = \left\{ \sigma'_i \mid \forall_{s_i \in S_i} u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}) \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$\Psi_i : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^{\Sigma_i}.$$

Define

$$\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^\Sigma : \sigma \mapsto \times_i \Psi_i(\sigma).$$

Thus this *correspondence map* is the Cartesian product of Ψ_i 's.

A fixed point of Ψ (if exists) is a σ^* such that

$$\sigma^* \in \Psi(\sigma^*).$$

Note that

$$\forall_{s_i \in S_i} u_i(\sigma_i^*, \sigma_{-i}^*) \geq u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}^*),$$

by definition. Thus a fixed point of Ψ provides a mixed strategy equilibrium σ^* .

Claims:

1. $\Sigma =$ Nonempty, compact and convex subset of a TVS.

$\Sigma_i = \Delta_{|S_i|-1} = |S_i| - 1$ dimensional simplex, since

$$\Sigma_i = \left\{ (\sigma_{i,1}, \dots, \sigma_{i,|S_i|}) \mid \sigma_{i,j} \geq 0, \sum_j \sigma_{i,j} = 1 \right\}.$$

Rest follows since $\Sigma = \times_i \Sigma_i$.

2. $u_i =$ Linear Function.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{0 < \lambda < 1} u_i(\lambda \sigma'_i + (1 - \lambda) \sigma''_i, \sigma_{-i}) \\ = \lambda u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i}) + (1 - \lambda) u_i(\sigma''_i, \sigma_{-i}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence u_i is a continuous function in his own mixed strategy. Since Σ is compact, u_i attains maxima in Σ .

$$\forall_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \Psi(\sigma) \neq \emptyset.$$

3.

$$\forall_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \Psi(\sigma) = \text{convex.}$$

Let $\sigma'_i, \sigma''_i \in \Psi(\sigma)$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{s_i \in S_i} (u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i})) \\ \wedge (u_i(\sigma''_i, \sigma_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\forall_{0 < \lambda < 1} \forall_{s_i \in S_i} u_i(\lambda \sigma'_i + (1 - \lambda) \sigma''_i, \sigma_{-i}) \geq u_i(s_i, \sigma_{-i}),$$

and

$$\forall_{0 < \lambda < 1} \lambda \sigma'_i + (1 - \lambda) \sigma''_i \in \Psi_i(\sigma).$$

4. $\Psi =$ uhc. Consider a sequence

$$\left\{ (\sigma^n, \hat{\sigma}^n) \mid \hat{\sigma}^n \in \Psi(\sigma^n) \right\}_n.$$

We wish to show that

$$\text{If } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma^n, \hat{\sigma}^n) = (\sigma, \hat{\sigma}) \quad \text{then} \quad \hat{\sigma} \in \Psi(\sigma).$$

Suppose Not! Then

$$\forall_n \hat{\sigma}^n \in \Psi(\sigma^n),$$

but

$$\hat{\sigma} \notin \Psi(\sigma) \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_i \notin \Psi_i(\sigma).$$

Thus,

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 \exists \sigma'_i \in \Sigma_i \ u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i}) > u_i(\hat{\sigma}_i, \sigma_{-i}) + 3\epsilon.$$

Since $u_i =$ continuous, there is a sufficiently large N such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i}^N) &> u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i}) - \epsilon \\ &> u_i(\hat{\sigma}_i, \sigma_{-i}) + 2\epsilon \\ &> u_i(\hat{\sigma}_i^N, \sigma_{-i}^N) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\sigma}_i^N \notin \Psi(\sigma^N)$, a contradiction.

Thus we conclude that $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow 2^\Sigma$ is a convex valued, uhc map from a nonempty, compact, convex subset Σ of finite dimensional TVS to nonempty subsets of Σ . Thus by Kakutani's fixed point theorem

$$\exists \sigma^* \in \Sigma \ \sigma^* \in \Psi(\sigma^*),$$

and σ^* is a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium.